## All concerned

The Ordinance for Doctoral Programmes (amended) 2018 have been reviewed in the 11<sup>th</sup> Senate meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2020.

On the recommendations of 11<sup>th</sup> Senate the following modifications have been approved and suggested to be included in Ordinance for Doctoral Programmes (Amended 2018) of SPA, Bhopal.

Approved copy of suggested modifications in Ordinance for Doctoral Programmes (Amended 2018) is being made available on Institute website for information of all concerned.

These modifications will supersede the respective Regulations/ Clauses as contained in the Ordinance for Doctoral Programmes (Amended 2018). The rest of the Regulations/Clauses for which no modification have been made, will continue to remain in effect.

2020

### PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS IN

## ORDINANCES and REGULATIONS FOR THE DOCTORAL PROGRAMME

for presentation in the

11<sup>th</sup> Senate Meeting

Scheduled on 29<sup>th</sup> August 2020

## SCHOOL OF PLANNING AND ARCHITECTURE BHOPAL

The Ordinances for Doctoral Programmes (amended) 2018 have been reviewed considering the Proposed UGC (minimum standards and procedures for award of M. Phil/PhD degrees) Regulations, 2019, which are now being re-circulated considering the implications of the New Education Policy, 2020. Some existing gaps in the existing Ordinances of SPA Bhopal observed during implementation have also been reviewed. The main points of modification are summarised.

The existing document (amended 2018) is proposed to be replaced with the Ordinance and Regulations for Doctoral Programmes (Amended) 2020 incorporating the proposed modifications and merging with the existing unchanged clauses.

The Ordinances and Regulations of the Doctoral Programme (Amended) 2020 shall be applicable from the academic session of August 2020 for all currently registered candidates. Any clauses of the Ordinance of 2018 applicable to specific cases shall be mentioned under relevant sections.

For discussion and approval of Senate.

219

The Amendments in the Doctoral Ordinances in 2020 aim to broaden the scope to the PhD programmes offered by the Departments and act as a more enabling regulatory framework to foster a higher level of academic rigour and excellence by:

- Incorporating the objectives of the National Education Policy 2020 to further imbibe the spirit of flexibility and interdisciplinary research in the Doctoral Programme of SPA Bhopal.
- Incorporating relevant provisions of the Proposed UGC (minimum standards and procedures for award of M. Phil/PhD degrees) Regulations, 2019 and the existing regulations of 2016, aiming to raise the quality of doctoral research further at SPA Bhopal.
- Fostering inter institute Doctoral research through exchange programmes and research projects, adopting a credit exchange based curriculum supported by the Credit Transfer Policy 2020, of SPA Bhopal.
- Elaborating and modifying some clauses in the existing ordinances to incorporate academic requirements and conditions unaddressed earlier.

220

Sl. No.	PROPOSED LIST OF CONTENTS		
I.	Preamble		
II.	Definitions		
III.	Ordinance		
IV.	Regulations		
1.	Eligibility for Admission to PhD Programme		
2.	Categories of Admissions		
3.	Duration of the Programme		
4.	Procedure of Admission to the PhD Programme		
5.	Registration and Cancellation of PhD Registration		
6.	Guidelines for Availing Scholarship / Fellowship		
7.	Departmental Research Committee (DRC) and its Functions		
8.	Doctoral Advisory Committee (DAC) and its functions		
9.	Conduct of Coursework		
10.	Allocation of Doctoral Advisor		
11.	Stages and timeline of Doctoral Research		
12.	Evaluation and Assessment		
13.	Conferment of PhD Degree		
14.	Leave Admissible		
15.	Legal and other Matters		

Annexure-XXIV

221

Page 3 of 24

# 1. Eligibility for Admission to PhD Programme

## Eligibility for Application:

222

SI.	Clause in Existing	Draft UGC Regulations 2019	Proposed in Amended Ordinances 2020, SPA
No.	Ordinance		Bhopal
1.1	Has qualified for the award of Masters degree in various specialization of Architecture or Planning or allied subjects with 60% marks (55% for reserved categories) or equivalent grade.	Focus is on Interdisciplinary Research. Minimum 55% marks (50% for reserved categories) for Post Graduates	

Page 4 of 24

	1.2	Has qualified for the award of Bachelors degree of a recognized institution or university in Architecture or Planning with 75% marks (70% for reserved categories) or equivalent grade. Has professional / research experience for a minimum period of <b>five</b> <b>years</b> after obtaining the Bachelors degree.	Minimum 75% marks (70% for reserved categories) for Graduates No mention of this clause. Appropriate guidelines may be framed for retired rofessionals with appropriate expertise,	In addition to Bachelor's in Architecture and Planning, it is proposed to include <b>B.E/ B. Tech</b> in allied specialisations relevant to the Department's interdisciplinary approach with (i) Minimum marks criteria of 75% (70% for reserved categories). and (ii) exemplary professional work /research in allied fields for <b>10 years</b> after obtaining Bachelors degree. Including Senior/Retired professionals who have served in Govt/Private /Defence Sector. Diploma Degrees not accepted. <b>DRC's to make lists of appropriate qualifications to</b> be approved by Chairman Senate and validated at the commencement of each academic year before advertisement for admissions.
223	1.3	Foreign Nationals with foreign degrees must meet the minimum educational requirements as given in RG 2. SPAB reserves the right to ask for valid scores in GRE or equivalent and TOEFL/ IELTS/ PTE, if warranted.	who have served in Govt/Private/Defence Sector . Equivalent degree from a Foreign University accredited by an assessment and accreditation agency recognised by law in the foreign country, for assuring quality and standards of educational institutions.	For Foreign nationals /Foreign Degrees : 1. Minimum qualifications stated in 1.1-1.3 applicable with equivalence established from a Foreign University and programme (UGC approved only) accredited by an assessment and accreditation agency recognised by law in the foreign country, for assuring quality and standards of educational institutions. 2. Valid scores in GRE or equivalent and TOEFL/ IELTS/ PTE for proficiency in English Language. 3. Diploma Degrees not accepted.

Shortlisted Candidates must appear in the PhD Entrance Examination and Interview conducted by SPA Bhopal for consideration of selection.

Format of PhD Entrance Examination to be conducted by SPA Bhopal:

SI. No.	Clause in Existing Ordinance	Draft UGC Regulations 2019	Proposed in Amended Ordinances 2020, SPA Bhopal Examination and Viva-voce to be conducted by
1.5	Examination to be conducted by PhD Admission committee notified by Senate Chairman each year with Dean (A) as Chairman. Part A: Written Examination common to all candidates for50 marks No qualifying marks. All candidates qualify for Part B. Part B: Viva voce based on short presentation on research idea to Admission Committee. Composite marks taken for preparing merit list. Weightage not specified.	50% is the qualifying marks and 45% for reserved candidates,50 marks for interview/ viva voce to a Departmental Research Committee.50% weightage to written exam and 50% weightage	<ul> <li>PhD Admission committee each year headed by Senate Chairman with DRC Chairpersons of all DRC's, Dean A and two external members, as members.</li> <li>Part A: Written Examination <ul> <li>50% questions on general research aptitude</li> <li>50% questions subject specific</li> </ul> </li> <li>Qualifying marks: The candidates will be shortlisted based on merit rank in the written exam, with the list including candidates double the number of seats to be filled. However marks will not be lowe than 50% and 45% for reserved candidates.</li> <li>Part B: Viva voce based on short presentation on research idea to Admission Committee.</li> <li>50% weightage to written exam and 50% weightage to viva voce.</li> <li>Apart from academic aptitude, candidate' and aligning with SPA Bhopality of the second s</li></ul>

224

Annexure-XXIV

Page 6 of 24

# 2. Categories of Admissions

SI. No.	Clause in Existing Ordinance	Proposed in Amended Ordinances 2020, SPA Bhopal		
2.1	9 categories of candidates are mentioned Rg 3 a)- i)	2 Simplified categories proposed as follows:		
No clear distinction between full time and part time scholars, the two categories <b>Full-time PhD scholars</b> for whom <b>res</b> <b>PhD programme is mandated</b> and th		Full-time PhD scholars for whom residency for the complete duration of PhD programme is mandated and they are required to undertake other academic duties including engaging classes, for a maximum of 8 hours per week.		
		<ul> <li>These shall include:</li> <li>I. Candidates with various Government, quasi government and other institutional fellowships and scholarships, including GATE Scholarship as admissible.</li> <li>II. Candidates applying in funded research projects having the provision of enrolling PhD scholars.</li> <li>III. Self sponsored candidates</li> </ul>		
		Part time scholars for whom residency is mandated for the duration of coursework and till Thesis topic is finalised, for a maximum period of 3 consecutive semesters. They are required to undertake other academic duties including engaging classes during this duration.		
		<ul> <li>These shall include:</li> <li>I. Self sponsored/industry/institution sponsored candidates continuing to be in service</li> <li>II. Candidates on exchange programmes for part duration</li> </ul>		

225

Page **7** of **24** 

	III. Senior in-service/ Retired personnel with appropriate experience having served in Govt./Private/Defence sector
 Option of shifting from full time to part time is simple	UGC Guidelines do not distinguish between part time and full time candidates.
with an application to DRC Chairman.	Enrolling of Full time candidates would be encouraged.
	Once admitted as Full time, a shift to Part time category shall not be permitted in the last two years from completion.
	In exceptional cases recommended by DRC Chairman through Dean (A) and approved by Senate Chairman, to be ratified in Senate, a change from full time to part time shall be considered only for candidates listed in (III) above. For candidates under (I) and (II), it would be subject to conditions laid down by funding/sponsoring agency.

### 3. Duration of Programme

226

2

SI. No.	Clause in Existing	Draft UGC	Proposed in Amended Ordinances 2020, SPA
	Ordinance	Regulations	Bhopal
3.1	For a full time PhD scholar: maximum period of six years from the date of registration.	be a minimum of 3	No distinction in total duration for full time and part time candidates proposed. Maximum duration of 5 years with a provision of extension of 1 additional year for unforeseen exigencies. No extensions beyond 6 years shall be permissible and they shall not be allowed to re- register automatically in SPA Bhopal with the same topic.

Annexure-XXIV

Page 8 of 24

3.2	For a part time PhD	Minimum duration of completion of programme from
	scholar:	date of registration to final submission: 3 years
	a maximum period of	Maximum duration of completion of programme from
	seven years from the date	date of registration to final submission: 5 years *
2.2	of registration. Minimum duration: two	Minimum duration of coursework and topic
3.3	years from the date of	finalisation: 1 year (2 consecutive semesters)
	topic finalization.	Maximum duration of completion of coursework
		and topic finalisation: 1 year 6 months
		(3 consecutive semesters)
3.4	Leaves as given in section	Long Leaves and Permissible Breaks:
0.11	Regulaion 29	i. No breaks are permissible during the duration of
	Regulatori 20	coursework.
		ii. Maternity and Paternity Breaks permissible only
		once during the programme period, for duration as
		per rules prevalent. iii. After Topic Finalisation, a candidate may take a
		iii. After Topic Finalisation, a candidate may take a break of maximum of 2 consecutive semesters
		after taking due approval from DRC, duly approved
		by Senate Chairman.
		iv. Maximum duration of the PhD course shall
		remain unchanged without adding break
		period.

22

- Proposed to be implemented for candidates from 2020 onwards. i.
- For candidates registered in 2018 and 2019, whose topic finalisation seminar is not yet conducted, a maximum ii.

- duration of 6 years shall be considered, regardless of full time and part time.
- For candidates registered before 2018, Regulation 23 of Ordinances of 2018 shall hold good in this regard apart iii. from the clause of 'excluding withdrawal period', which shall be deleted from (a) and (b).

# 4. Procedure of Admission

SI. No.	Clause in Existing Ordinance	Draft UGC Regulations 2019	Proposed in Amended Ordinances 2020, SPA Bhopal
4.1	No clause on finalizing annual number of PhD scholars to be admitted	Institutes shall on an annual basis through their academic bodies decide a predetermined and manageable number of PhD scholars depending on available research	At the end of each Academic session, number of vacancies created by passed out PhD scholars and assessment of quota of eligible Advisors shall be undertaken. Departments to undertake this exercise and submit proposed number of candidates for upcoming semester feasible in the Department to Senate Chairman through Dean (A).
4.2	No clause on Department wise distribution of seats. No clause on reservation policy for selected candidates.	supervisors and other academic facilities. Subject/Discipline wise distribution of seats following policy of reservation to be declared in advertisement and applications to be invited Department wise.	Departments, Senate Chairman to finalise th final number of seats to be declared open for upcoming session distributed i Departments and across open and reserve
4.3	Department choice absent in Application Form and Registration Form though Ordinance requires choice of Department by candidate at the time of admission.		CandidatetoIndicateproductDepartmentatthetimeofApplicationFormandRegistrationtoSame.RegistrationtobedoneinRegistrationtobedoneinselectedDepartmentforselectedcandidates.

228

.

Page 10 of 24

# 7. Departmental Research Committee (DRC) and Doctoral Advisory Committee (DAC)

The existing Ordinance for Doctoral Programme (Amended) 2018, has the provision of 3 committees:

- Departmental Research Committee (DRC) : for each Department i.
- Scholar Research Review Committee (SRRC) : for each PhD scholar ii.
- Doctoral Advisory Committee (DAC): Institute level body iii.

Since 2018, the DRC of each Department has been the only active body reviewing the Doctoral scholars' work periodically. The other two committees have not been constituted. While the SRRC as a format exists in some institutions and is a prevalent format, it has difficulties in operationalising due to concerns of maintaining parity of outcome and ensuring quality across candidates. The existence of both SRRC and DRC is usually not common.

This has been discussed at the institute level from 2018, and SRRC as a committee has been removed. The same is reflected in the proposed amended Ordinances and regulations 2020.

DRC: Term of DRC shall be 2 years. If the need for a specialist is felt in any case, who is not available in an existing DRC, a provision of a special invitee may be included, beyond the regular composition of the DRC, including past members of DRC.

The DAC is proposed to be retained. To be operationalised with immediate effect. Term of committee shall be 2 years. The DAC shall be headed by Senate Chairman with DRC Chairpersons of all DRC's, Dean A, Doctoral Programme Coordinator and two external members, nominated by Chairman, Senate.

Functions of DAC shall be as follows:

22

0

- DAC shall recommend amendments to the PhD Regulations from time to time.
- ii. DAC shall facilitate inter departmental coordination in conducting the PhD programme, including appointment of
  - co-Advisors from across Departments.
- iii. DAC shall examine and facilitate change of Department if so requested by a PhD Scholar
- iv. DAC shall examine and facilitate cases of transfer of candidates to other institutes, if applied for. and selection of
- candidates for exchange programme based on the Credit Transfer Policy 2020. DAC shall constitute 2 member review committes along with Advisor/s to review the final Thesis Report Report

Annexure-XXIV

V. before sending for external evaluation.

Page 11 of 24

# 10. Allocation of Doctoral Advisor

230

SI. No.	Clause in Existing Ordinance	Draft UGC Regulations	Proposed in Amended Ordinances 2020, SPA Bhopal
4.1	Eligibility criteria of PhD Supervisor/Co-supervisor: Any permanent fulltime faculty of SPAB, holding a PhD and having expertise in the proposed area of research of the respective PhD scholar. Minimum years of experience required: Supervisor: two years from the date of award of PhD degree Co-supervisor: one year from the date of	research publications in standard referred journals/book chapters. Assistant Professor with a PhD degree and at least 3 research publications in standard referred journals/book chapters. Adjunct faculty not permitted. Co-supervisors from other Departments and sister institutes permitted.	<ul> <li>indexed/with impact factor journals and (II) book chapters in leading reputed publications of international level and (iii) having expertise in the proposed area of research of the respective PhD candidate.</li> <li>i. Co-Advisors from partner institutes with whom formal institutional collaborations through MoUs on Research have been undertaken.</li> <li>ii. Co-Advisors from other institutes of repute of national and international level.</li> <li>iii. Co-advisors from reputed professionals with a minimum of 5 years of experience post-PhD.</li> </ul>
	award of PhD degree.	In formal institutional collaborations through MoUs, supervisors from partner institutes may be permitted.	on application by PhD scholar and finalized by

Page 12 of 24

	No clause on implications if Supervisor goes on Lien/Deputation.	In case a Advisor goes on Lien/Deputation for a long period of at least 12 months or 1 academic year, the DAC shall appoint another Advisor from among the members of faculty within the department, and if not available, from allied departments. To be approved by Senate Chairman. All eligibility criteria mentioned in 4.1 shall apply while selecting the new advisor.
231		The original Advisor shall continue as Co- Advisor, unless the candidate is within 6 months of submission of thesis, in which case, the original Advisor may continue, along with appointment of a co-Advisor from within SPA Bhopal.

# 11. Stages and Timeline of Doctoral Research

232

SI. No.	Clause in Existing Ordinance	Proposed in Amended Ordinances 2020, SPA Bhopal
11.1	Allocation of Subjects by DRC in Semester 1	Allocation of Subjects by DRC Chairman & DAC at the beginning of Academic Session. To be ratified by DRC in the earliest meeting and approved by Senate Chairman.
11.2	Completion of Coursework consisting of 3 subjects, within 1 year of registering. Presentation of 2 seminars and Topic Finalisation seminar within 2 years of registration. No seminar permitted to be presented in semester 1.	finalization in a minimum of 2 consecutive

Page **14** of **24** 

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	courses as permitted by the Credit Transfer
			Policy 2020. In case a DRC finds a candidate
			to be exceptional, based on previous
	Section 1		academic and/or professional performance, the
			DRC may suggest to DAC to waive off one or
			more of the Electives. RM to remain a
			compulsory subject.
			iii. Seminar topics shall be finalized by the DRC in
			Semester 1 and 2.
			iv. Seminars presented only at SPA Bhopal and not
			in any partner institute (if a student goes on
			exchange) will be considered for the
			coursework requirement of the PhD
N			programme.
233	11.3		Progress Review Presentation to be presented every
ω			semester to DRC members. In case of unsatisfactory
			progress review, a mid-term review may be held for
			improvement through Physical/Virtual Platform.
	11.4		Pre-thesis Submission Seminar presentation to be
			conducted in a minimum of 5 semesters and a
			maximum of 8 semesters from time of registration.
	11.5		i. Draft Report to be submitted to Advisor within
			3 months of Pre-Thesis Submission seminar.
			ii. Draft Report incorporating Advisor's

Page 15 of 24

	report is fit for external examination. iv. Review Committee to give report within
	v. Candidate to incorporate changes suggested b
	review committee. Final report to be submitted by the PhD scholar for

Page **16** of **24** 

# 12. Evaluation and Assessment

235

SI. No.	Clause in Existing Ordinance	Draft UGC Regulations	Proposed in Amended Ordinances 2020, SPA Bhopal
12.1	The Dissertation Report shall be sent for external evaluation to three external examiners, one of whom must be from abroad.	The PhD Thesis submitted by a research scholar shall be evaluated by his/her Research Supervisor and <b>at least two</b> external examiners, who are experts in the field and not in employment of the Institution. Examiners should be academics with good record of scholarly publications in the field.	<ul> <li>The Thesis Report shall be sent for external evaluation to two external examiners, one of whom must be from abroad.</li> <li>Qualifications of Examiner: <ol> <li>The examiner should be an academic with a PhD degree with research in the field of work of the Thesis Report being sent for evaluation.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>A professional with work in the field of the Thesis report being sent, with ar experience of a minimum of 5 years post PhD.</li> <li>A brief CV of the proposed externat with recent publications in the last 4 years shall be submitted by the DRC when suggesting panel members to Senate Chairman.</li> <li>An examiner cannot be sent more than 1 Thesis Report at the same time.</li> <li>There shall be a gap of at least a cademic years, before the new Thesis is sent to the same examiner.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

Annexure-XXIV

Page 17 of 24

-	40.0	DDC shall recommend the pend		DRC shall recommend, through Dean (A),
	12.2	DRC shall recommend the panel		
		of external examiners (separately		the panel of external examiners
		for Indian and foreign) for		(separately for 3 Indian and 2 foreign
		evaluation of the dissertation		experts) not in consultation with the
		report to the Chairperson, Senate		Advisor, for evaluation of the Thesis
		through DoAA. DRC shall satisfy		report to the Chairperson, Senate.
		itself about the eminence of the		DRC shall satisfy itself about the eminence
		external examiners before		and qualifications of each of the external
		recommending the panel to the		examiners for a concerned Thesis, before
		Chairperson, Senate.		recommending the panel to the
				Chairperson, Senate. A brief CV as
				detailed in 12.1 to be submitted.
				Judicious selection of examiners, based
	· · ·		8	on the expertise of each of them in the
				given field of the Thesis report is to be
				ensured.
F	12.3	The Chairperson, Senate may		The Chairperson, Senate may nominate
		nominate any other external		any other external examiner(s) other than
		examiner(s) other than the ones		the ones from the panel submitted to
		from the panel submitted to		him/her, meeting all the criteria mentioned
		him/her.		above.
F	12.4	External examiners are expected		External examiners are expected to send
		to send their evaluation report in		their evaluation report in prescribed format
		prescribed format (Annexure)		within two months, extendable by one
	1			

236

# Annexure-XXIV

· X.

Page 18 of 24

	within three months from the date		more month, from the date of receipt o
	of receipt of the dissertation		the Thesis report to Dean (A).
	report to Dean (A).	The public viva voce of the	Evaluation Procedure
12.5	<ul> <li>If two of the external examiners recommend the dissertation for award of PhD degree, the concerned DRC shall propose the conducting of viva voce to the Chairperson, Senate through the DoAA.</li> <li>If two of the external examiners suggest resubmission of the dissertation report, the PhD scholar shall do the needful within a time period stipulated by the DRC. The DRC shall ask the concerned SRRC to ensure that the PhD scholar has complied with all the suggestions made by the external examiners and shall ensure the resubmission of the dissertation report.</li> </ul>	The public viva-voce of the research scholar to defend the thesis shall be conducted only if the evaluation reports of the external examiners on the thesis recommend acceptance. If one of the evaluation reports of the external examiners recommends rejection, the Institute shall send the thesis to another external examiner out of the approved panel of examiners and the viva-voce shall be held only if the report of the latest examiner is satisfactory. If the report of the latest examiner is also unsatisfactory, the thesis shall be rejected and the research scholar shall be declared	<ul> <li>If both/all the external examiners recommend the Thesis for award of PhD degree, (annexure enclosed for reference) i.e. grading from A to B (a or (b), the concerned DRC shat propose the conducting of viva voce to the Chairperson, Senate through the Dean (A).</li> <li>If one or two of the externate examiners suggest resubmission of the Thesis report, (grade B (iii) is annexure) the PhD scholar shall do the needful under the guidance of his/hee Advisor, within a maximum period of 3 months from the date of receiving the office of Dean (A) for sending back to the examiner once again.</li> </ul>
	dissertation report to the two external examiners as required	ineligible for the award of	

237

238		and request them to send their evaluation reports within two months.	degree.	<ul> <li>examine the thesis again, it may be sent to a new examiner from the panel or as recommended by the Senate Chairman.</li> <li>If any one of the external examiners does not recommend the thesis report, (grade C in annexure enclosed), the report will be sent for re-examination to another examiner out of the panel of approved examiners or recommended by Senate Chairman, not withstanding the report of the other examiner/s.</li> <li>If the report of the latest examiner is satisfactory, the Thesis Defense shall be held. If the report of the latest examiner is unsatisfactory, the thesis Shall not be recommended for Thesis Defense and the research scholar shall be declared ineligible for the award of</li> </ul>
				degree. In case a report has been sent to an
	12.6	No clause on return of PhD report without evaluating		examiner with his/her consent on the basis of the abstract shared, but on examining

			the contents, the examiner does not agree/is unable to send an evaluation report for any valid reason substantiated in writing, the report will be sent to another examiner out of the panel of approved experts, or a new examiner approved by Chairman, Senate, after judiciously ascertaining that the expert selected is from the specific domain of work undertaken.	
12.7 N30	<ul> <li>On receipt of the affirmative concurrence of external examiners, the viva voce shall be conducted as early as possible.</li> <li>In a viva voce, a PhD scholar shall make an oral presentation on his/her dissertation. The presentation is open to all.</li> <li>The PhD scholar is expected to answer the queries of the examiners satisfactorily.</li> <li>In case of difference of opinion amongst the members of Viva voce Board as regards the performance of the PhD scholar, majority decision shall prevail.</li> <li>In the event of complete</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>On receipt of the affirmative concurrence of all external examiners, the Thesis Defense Presentation shall be conducted as early as possible.</li> <li>In the Defense Presentation, a PhD scholar shall make an oral presentation as a defense of his/herThesis.</li> <li>The presentation is open to all.</li> <li>The PhD scholar is expected to answer the queries of the examiners satisfactorily.</li> <li>The Thesis Defense Presentation may be treated as a case of (i) presenting seminal findings, (ii) clarifying all queries of examiners and (ii) a public dissemination event for validating the contribution to the body of knowledge.</li> <li>It shall thus not be treated as</li> </ul>	Annexure-XXIV
		Page 21 of 24	I	<

Page 21 of 24

unanimity towards the unsatisfactory performance, the PhD scholar will be asked to reappear for another viva voce at a later date (not earlier than a month and not later than three months from the date of first viva voce).	<ul> <li>satisfactory/unsatisfactory</li> <li>performance shall not be undertaken by the panel.</li> <li>However it is to be an essential</li> </ul>
---	--

240

Annexure-XXIV

Page 22 of 24

The Annexure for External Examiner's Evaluation Report:

# School of Planning and Architecture

(An Institute of National Importance, MHRD, Govt. of India) Neelbad Road, Bhauri, Bhopal – 462 030 (M.P.), India

Annexure-

# External Examiner's Evaluation Report

1. Title of the Dissertation: \_\_\_\_\_

Department: 2.

24

Details of External examiner 3. Name:

Designation and Institution:

Recommendations of the External Examiner (kindly indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box)

i. H	Recommendatione of an	
	I recommend acceptance of the dissertation in its present form	
A.	I recommend acceptance of the discertained	
	the subject to the candidate	
B.	I recommend acceptance of dissertation subject to the candidate	
υ.	recommendation during the viva voce	
	(i) furnishing satisfactory clarifications to the queries during the viva voce	
	examination	
	the indicated in the evaluation report, but the	
	(ii) incorporating the corrections indicated in the evaluation report, but the	
	revised Thesis report need not be sent	
	(iii) incorporating the corrections indicated in the evaluation report and re-	
	(iii) incorporating the corrections indicated in the ordinated	1
	submission of the revised Thesis report	
	The for the reasons furnished in	
C.	I do not recommend acceptance of the Thesis for the reasons furnished in	
	the evaluation report	
1	전에 이상 가장	

(Signature of the External Examiner)

Annexure-XXIV

I enclose herewith the evaluation report.

### Proposed Key Modifications in a few Annexures

- Cover page and Front piece of the Copies of reports shall not contain the names of the candidate or the Advisor. Only Thesis Title and Department is to be mentioned. Every where in the report/ annexures/ attached publications, the name of the candidate and Advisor/s shall be removed.
- On the copies of the final report presented at the time of Thesis Defense Presentation, the names of Candidate and Advisor shall appear.
- Signatories of the Report shall only be : (i) Advisor and (ii) Head of Department.\*

\* This may be in effect in retrospect for a candidate who was awarded a degree in 2019 as the same was communicated by Senate Chairman but not incorporated in the earlier amendment in 2018.

List of annexures with minor modifications in sequence and content reflecting the changes proposed in the amended ordinances and regulations 2020.

- The modifications proposed will be merged with the content of the Regulations in the Ordinances of Doctoral Programme 2018, which have remained unchanged, but sequence will be changed and the regulations re-numbered according to the proposed contents in this document, having sub-sections.
- Annexures will be included in the Ordinance and Regulations for Doctoral Programmes (Amended) 2020.

Annexure-XXIV

242